## Court-II

## Before the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity

 (Appellate Jurisdiction)A.No. 86 of 2014 \& IA Nos. 156 of 2014, 163 of 2014 and 432 of 2015, and A.No. 233 of 2013 \& IA No. 318 of 2013, A.No. 102 of 2014

Dated : 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ July, 2016
Present : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Surendra Kumar, Judicial Member Hon'ble Mr. T. Munikrishnaiah, Technical Member

In the matter of:
A.No. 86 of 2014 \& IA Nos. 156 of 2014

Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Co. Ltd. Versus
Central Electricity Regulatory Commission \& Ors.
Counsel for the Appellant
Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. Nikhil Nayyar Mr. N.Sai Vinod for R1

Ms. Ranjitha Ramachandran
Mr. M.G.Ramachandran
Mr. Shubham Arya for R-3 \& R-4
Mr. Vishrov Mukherjee
Mr. Rohit Venkat for R-2
Mr. Hemant Singh
Mr. Matrugupta Mishra for R-10
Mr. S. Vallinayagm for R. 5 and
A.No. 233 of 2013

| Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd. \& Ors. Versus |  | ... Appellant(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Chhattisgarh State Electricity Regulatory Commission \& Anr. ... Respondent(s) |  |  |
| Counsel for the Appellant | : | Ms. Ranjitha Ramachandran |
|  |  | Mr. M.G.Ramachandran |
|  |  | Mr. Shubham Arya for R-3 \& R-4 |
| Counsel for the Respondent(s) | : | Mr. Anand K. Ganesan |
|  |  | Mr. Sandeep Rajpurohit |
|  |  | Ms. Neha Garg for R. 1 |

A.No. 102 of 2014

Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution Corp. Ltd. Versus
Central Electricity Regulatory Commission \& Ors.
... Appellant(s)

Counsel for the Appellant
Counsel for the Respondent(s) :
Mr. S. Vallinayagam
Mr. Matrugupta Mishra and
Mr. Tushar Nagar for R-10
Ms. Ranjitha Ramachandran
Mr. M.G.Ramachandran
Mr. Shubham Arya for R-3 \& R-4

## ORDER

On earlier date, we segregated three appeals, being Appeal Nos. 86 of 2014, 233 of 2013 and 102 of 2014, from the remaining 5 appeals, presuming these appeals will be disposed off. Today, these three appeals are fixed for remaining arguments of the parties and considering the time to be taken by the contesting parties, they require at least 4 or 5 sittings to conclude these three appeals. The remaining 5 appeals will naturally take lot of time which would make it difficult for this bench to conclude arguments in all these 8 appeals.

Post this batch of appeals for arguments on $14^{\text {th }}$ September, 2016, afresh, as the Judicial Member of this bench is demitting office on $6^{\text {th }}$ August, 2016.
$k t$ jp

